EXHIBIT C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHRISTOS SOUROVELIS, DOILA WELCH and NORYS HERNANDEZ, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA; MICHAEL A. NUTTER, in his official capacity as Mayor of Philadelphia; PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE; R. SETH WILLIAMS, in his official capacity as District Attorney of Philadelphia; and CHARLES H. RAMSEY, in his official capacity as Commissioner of the Philadelphia Police Department;

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04687-ER

Assigned to the Honorable Judge Robreno

Special Management Track

DECLARATION OF
DARPANA M. SHETH
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO CERTIFY
SETTLEMENT CLASSES
AND GRANT FINAL APPROVAL OF
SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFFS'
FIRST AND SECOND CLAIMS
FOR RELIEF

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, Darpana M. Sheth, declare the following:

- 1. I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of Washington, D.C. I am over eighteen years of age and fully competent to make this declaration. I am an attorney with the Institute for Justice, which represents Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action. I voluntarily submit this declaration based on my personal knowledge and in support of the Motion to Certify Settlement Classes and Grant Final Approval of Plaintiffs' First and Second Claims for Relief.
- 2. After the Court preliminarily approved the Proposed Settlement Agreement and Notice of the Proposed Settlement Agreement for Plaintiffs' First and Second Claims for Relief (the "Notice") on August 28, 2015, the Institute for Justice created a dedicated line, accessible at (703) 682-9331, for the purpose of receiving any inquiries related to the Proposed Settlement Agreement. The Notice included the phone number for Plaintiffs' dedicated line, as well as mailing addresses and email addresses for Plaintiffs' and Defendants' counsel and phone

numbers for Defendants' counsel. (*See* Notice at 5-6.) Plaintiffs' dedicated line was operational beginning September 1, 2015.

- 3. On or about or about September 2, 2015, the Institute for Justice published the Notice and Proposed Settlement Agreement on a website it maintains and dedicates to the issue of civil forfeiture. See http://endforfeiture.com. The Notice and Proposed Settlement Agreement were both posted as separate links under the Legal Documents section of http://endforfeiture.com/philadelphia-forfeiture/ (last visited October 27, 2015). The Notice is titled "Notice of Partial Settlement," and is available at <a href="http://endforfeiture.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/Revised_Proposed-Notice-of-Settlement-for-Claims-1-and-2-IJ072212xA6322.pdfposed. The Proposed Settlement Agreement is titled "Partial Settlement Agreement" and is available at http://endforfeiture.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/ECF-83-1-Proposed-Approve-Settlement_FINAL-IJ070548xA6322.pdf.
- 4. On or about September 2, 2015, counsel for the District Attorney Defendants advised me that the Notice was posted on the District Attorney's Office website at https://phillyda.wordpress.com/category/notices/.
- 5. On or about September 1, 2015, counsel for the District Attorney Defendants advised me that he had sent a copy of the Notice to the Chief of the Public Nuisance Task Force Andrew Jenemann to direct Assistant District Attorneys to make the Notice and Proposed Settlement Agreement available in Courtroom 478 of City Hall.
- 6. On or about September 2, 2015, I confirmed that the City Defendants had posted the Notice and Proposed Settlement Agreement on the website which counsel for the City Defendants had earlier notified me of, *i.e.*, https://www.phillypolice.com/forms/index.html.
 - 7. Pursuant to the Court's August 28, 2015 Order (ECF No. 97), the parties

published the Notice in the *Philadelphia Inquirer* and the *Philadelphia Daily News* on

September 9, 2015 and September 11, 2015.

8. A true and correct copy of the September 9, 2015 publication of the Notice of

Proposed Settlement in the *Philadelphia Inquirer* is attached as Exhibit 1 to this declaration.

9. A true and correct copy of the September 9, 2015 publication of the Notice of

Proposed Settlement in the *Philadelphia Daily News* is attached as Exhibit 2 to this declaration.

10. A true and correct copy of the September 11, 2015 publication of the Notice of

Proposed Settlement in the *Philadelphia Inquirer* is attached as Exhibit 3 to this declaration.

11. A true and correct copy of the September 11, 2015 publication of the Notice of

Proposed Settlement in the *Philadelphia Daily News* is attached as Exhibit 4 to this declaration.

12. Plaintiffs' counsel received no objections to the Proposed Settlement Agreement

by telephone, mail, or email.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 29, 2015.

By: Mupanu MSheh
Darpana M. Sheth



Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND HEARING IN CLASS ACTION FOR OWNERS OF PROPERTY
THREA FREED WITH CIVIL FORFEITURE
SOURCELS TENDED WITH CIVIL FORFEITURE
TO: All owners and those with a legal interest in property against which a civil-orieiture petition has been filed by the Philadelphia District Attorney's
Office in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.
You are hereby notified that a hearing has been scheduled for November 2, 2015, at 2:00 p.m., before the Honorable Eduardo C. Robreno of the
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, James A. Byrne U.S. Courthouse, 601 Market Street, Courtroom 15a, Philadelphia,
Pennsylvania, 19106, for consideration of a proposed estitlement of two claims that have been brought on your behalf in this leawait.
Perpose of this Action
Office of the Court of Court of

This notice has three purposes: (1) to inform you about the lawsuit and proposed settlement; (2) to tell you how to obtain more information; and (3) to explain how you may object to the proposed settlement.

II. Background
Plaintiffs brought this class-action lawsuit on August 11, 2014, to challenge six policies and practices of the City of Philadelphia's civil-forfeiture program under the U.S. Constitution. The lawsuit does not seek money damages. Rather, the purpose of the lawsuit is to change Defendants' policies and practices in conducting old in foreign and the control of the con

other real property under the Controlled Substances Forfeiture Act, 42 Pa. Cons. Stat. §§ 8801 et seq., except when all of the following circumstances are satisfied:

1. Prior approval from a specifically designated person;
2. Specific facts demonstrating that exigent circumstances exist; and
3. Specific facts demonstrating that exigent circumstances exist; and
3. Specific facts demonstrating that exigent circumstances exist; and
3. Specific facts demonstrating that less restrictive measures are insufficient.

The following summarizes new procedures for properties that are currently subject to a "seize and seal" order hand think (30) days from the effective that are currently subject to a "seize and seal" order has been diamissed; the District Attorney Defendants will move to dismiss any "seize and seal"

No later than think (30) days from the effective of the Agreement, the District Attorney Defendants will move to dismiss any "seize and seal" order has been dismissed; the District Attorney Defendants will move to dismiss any "seize and seal" order.

If a property owner, whose property is currently subject to a "seize and seal" order.

If a property owner, whose property is currently subject to a "seize and seal" order.

If a property owner, whose property is currently subject to a "seize and seal" order.

If a property owner, whose property is currently subject to a "seize and seal" order.

If a property owner, whose property is currently subject to a "seize and seal" order, is: (1) represented by an attorney, and (2) knowingly and voluntarily agrees, in writing, to the continued sealing of his or her property, the District Attorney Defendants may, within thirty (30) days of the effective diet of the Agreement, seek to reinstate the "seize and seal" order after providing the property owner with proper notice and presenting the petition to reinstate the "seize and seal" order at a hearing before a judge of the Court of Common Pleas.

B. Unsealing Agreements and Settlement Agreements (Consent Motions for Discon

2. Restricting access to the property by any non-relative, defined to include up to fifth-degree relatives;
3. Restricting access to the property by any non-relative, unless the non-relative has been convicted of distributing illegal controlled substances;
4. Giving the Commonwealth the power to review, approve, or reject prospective lessess, tenants, buyers, residents, or transferess of the property.

4. Giving the Commonwealth the power to review, approve, or reject prospective lessess, tenants, buyers, residents, or transferess of the property.

5. Requiring property owners to access not describe the property.

Within thrify (SI) days of the effective date of the Agreement, the District Attorney Defendants will inform all property owners who have entered into the property of the property of the property.

Within thrify (SI) days of the effective date of the Agreement, the District Attorney Defendants will produce to Plaintiffs specific documents to ensure compliance with this Agreement, and the property of the property of the relative to the property of the relative of the Property Michael Miller 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 Tel: (215 683-5433 Email: Michael R. Miller@phila.gov Counsel for Defendants City of Philadelphia, Mayor Michael A. Nutter and Mayor Michael A. Nutter and

service directory

act: HE BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU EASTERN PA 1930 Chestnut St., Box 2297, Phile., PA 19103. 448-6100

Legal Notices

WEST CHESTER THERAPY

ega services

DIVORCE \$130*

NO FAULT UNCONTESTED

No Court 5 Months=\$130*
Appearance 3 Months=\$250*
Needed 6 Weeks =\$330*

Needed 6 Weeks = \$330°
215-854-6340 Divorce Guides
www.DIVORCEGUIDES .net
Aaron I. Rappeport, Esq. Plus \$95.00
1515 Market Street Ste 1200 Philadolphia PA
fee

business opportunities

contact:
THE BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU
OF EASTERN PA 1608 Wainut St.,
Phila., PA 19103. 215-985-9313

BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY SERVICES

TastyKake Route For Sale, Lansdowne Area, \$165,000, Call Jim, 267-266-2733

autos other

AUTOS, TRUCKS WANTED

\$500 CASH for any junk car w/without title 215-669-1000

WE BUY CARS & TRUCKS \$200-\$5000 CASH 267-229-1970



We Buy Junk Cars! Top Paid! Cash. Any Condition, Call Now!!! (215) 783-6919

low cost cars & trucks

runs/lks new, new insp. CHRYSLER SERRING LY 2001 \$1250



in the paper and on philly com Polik you are granted a librase to place the ent to the media. Deficiency accounts are suc

legal notices

Legal Notices Legal Notices

phill

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND HEARING IN CLASS ACTION FOR OWNERS OF PROPERTY
THREATENED WITH CIVIL FORFEITURE
SOUROVELLS, ET AL. v. CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, ET AL.,
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICTORY SOURCE OF PROPERTY
TO: All owners and those with a legal interest in property solins which a civil-forfeiture petition has been filled by the Philadelphia District Attorney's
Office in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.
You are hereby notified that a hearing has been scheduled for November 2, 2015, at 2:00 p.m., before the Honorable Eduardo C. Robreno of the
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, James A. Byrne U.S. Courthouse, 601 Market Street, Courtroom 15a, Philadelphia
Pennsylvania, 19106, for consideration of a proposed settlement of two claims that have been brought on your behalf in this lawsuit.

I. Purpose of this Notice
This notice has three purposes: (1) to inform you shout the lawsuit and constraints.

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, James A. Byrne U.S. Courthouse, 801 Market Street, Courtroom 15a, Philadelphia Pennsylvania, 19106, for consideration of a proposed settlement of two colaims that wave been brought on your behalf in this lawsuit.

I. Purpose of this Notice

This notice has three purposes: (1) to inform you about the lawsuit and proposed settlement agreement; (2) to tell you how to obtain more information to the proposed settlement.

II. Background

Plaintiffs brought this class-action lawsuit on August 11, 2014, to challenge six policies and practices of the City of Philadelphia's civil-forfeiture program under the U.S. Constitution. The lawsuit does not seek money damages. Rather, the purpose of the lawsuit is to change Defendants' policies and practices change in conducting civil forfeitures.

First Claem Extremely and the control of the proposed settlement agreement as to the following two policies and practices challenged by Piaintiffs.

First Claem Extremely and the control of the proposed settlement agreement as to the following two policies and practices challenged by Piaintiffs.

First Claem Extremely and the proposed settlement agreement as to the following the purpose of the lawsuit is to change Defendants' policies and practices of the City of Philadelphia proposed and the control of the proposed settlement agreement as to the following the purpose of the lawsuit is to change Defendants' policies and practices of the City of Priladelphia proposed settlement agreement agreement

The District Attorney Defendants will not seek, without providing notice or an opportunity to be heard, a "seize and seal" order against any home or other real property under the Controlled Substances Forfeiture Act, 42 Pa. Cons. Stat. §§ 6801 et seq., except when all of the following circumstances are satisfied:

1. Prior approval from a specifically designated person;
2. Specific facts demonstrating that extgent circumstances exist: and
2. Specific facts demonstrating that extgent circumstances exist: and
2. Specific facts demonstrating that extgent circumstances exist: and
2. Specific facts demonstrating that extgent circumstances exist: and
2. Specific facts demonstrating that extgent circumstances exist: and
2. Specific facts demonstrating that extgent circumstances exist: and
2. Specific facts demonstrating that extgent circumstances exist: and
2. Specific facts demonstrating that extgent circumstances exist: and
2. Specific facts demonstrating that extgent circumstances in such as a sequence of the continued and that are currently subject to a "seize and seal" order has been dismissed, the District Attorney Defendants will move to dismiss any "seize and seal" order property of the sequence of the sequenc

2. Restricting access to the property by any relative, defined to include up to iffile-degree relatives.

3. Restricting access to the property by any relative, unless the non-relative has been convicted of distributing illegal controlled substances;

4. Giving the Commonwealth the power to review, approve, or reject prospective lessees, tenents, buyers, residents, or transferoes of the property.

4. Giving the Commonwealth the power to review, approve, or reject prospective lessees, tenents, buyers, residents, or transferoes of the property.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Agreement, the District Attorney Defendants will inform all property owners who have entered into unadditionally, the District Attorney Defendants will produce to Plaintiffs specific documents to ensure compliance with the Agreement.

Finally, Plaintiffs reserve the right to challenge any condition contained in future unsealing or settlement agreements as an unconstitutional condition.

C. Attorneys Fase

1. Plaintiffs may be awarded attorneys fees or costs to compensate them for their time and work in litigating the First and Second Claims that are the subject of the Proposed Settlement Agreement. The amount of any storneys' fees paid to Plaintiffs will be made public.

IV. For Further information

1. Counsel for the Plaintiffs Wille be made public.

1. Counsel for the Plaintiffs Webelste: www.ij.org/philadelphia-forfeiture and http://endorfeiture.com/philadelphia-forfeiture

1. Counsel for the Plaintiffs Webelste: www.jin.graphilagop/districtationey/notices.html.

2. Courtroom 478 in City Agreement in alternate accessible formats, please contact Plaintiffs' counsel listed below.

V. Procedures for Agreement of Objection

1. Counsel for the Plaintiffs will be made public.

2. You must send country to the country of the proposed settlement, you have a right to object to it. Your objections will be considered by the Court as it reviews the settlement of the reasons for the objection; and settlement proposed settlement as

Fax: (703) 682-9321
E-mall: deheth@lj.org; memam@lj.org
KAIRY\$, RUDOV\$KY, MES\$ING & FEINBERG
David Rudovsky (I.D. Number 15168)
The Cast Iron Building
718 Arch Street, Suite 501 South
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Tel: (215) 2925-4400
Email: drudovsky@krlawphila.com

For the Defendants:
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Elizabeth J. Rubin
Bryan C. Hughes
Three South Penn Square
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Tel: (215) 888-8787
Email: bl.graham-rublin@phila.gov
Counsel for Defendants Philadelphia

Coursel for Detendants Philadelphia District

G & FEINBERG

G PHILADEL PHIA LAW DEPARTMENT

Michael Miller

F1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor

FPINIADELPHIA, PA 19102

Tel: (215) 683-5433

Email: Michael, R, Miller@phila.gov

Counsel for Defendants City of Philadelphia,

Mayor Michael, A, Nutrer and

FOICE Commissioner Charles H, Ramsey

constant Page and lot led property and severely property of the page of the pa

onii∨⊆com

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND HEARING IN CLASS ACTION FOR OWNERS OF PROPERTY
THREATENED WITH CIVIL FORFEITURE
SOUROVELIS, ET AL., v. CITY OF PILLADELPHIA, ET AL.,
Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04687-ER
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TO: All owners and those with a legal interest in property against which a civil-forfeiture petition has been filled by the Philadelphia District Attorney's
Office in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.
You are hereby notified that a hearing has been scheduled for November 2, 2015, at 2:00 p.m., before the Honorable Eduardo C. Robreno of the
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, James A. Syrne U.S. Courthouse, 601 Market Street, Courtroom 15a, Philadelphia,
Pennsylvania, 19106, for consideration of a proposed settlement of two claims that have been brought on your behalf in this lawsuit.

1. Purpose of this Notice propers. (1) to inform you should the lawsuit and proposed settlement agreement; (2) to take you have to obtain more information.

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, James A. Byrne U.S. Courthouse, 601 Market Street, Courtroom 15a, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19106, for consideration-of a proposed settlement of two claims that have been brought on your behalf in this lawsuit.

I. Purpose of this Notice
This notice has three purposes: (1) to inform you about the lawsuit and proposed settlement agreement; (2) to tell you how to obtain more information; and (3) to explain how you may object to the proposed settlement.

II. Purpose of this Notice
This notice has three purposes: (1) to inform you about the lawsuit and proposed settlement agreement; (2) to tell you how to obtain more information; and (3) to explain how you may object to the proposed settlement.

Plaintiffs brought this class-action lawsuit on August 11, 2014, to challenge six policies and practices of the City of Philadelphia's civil-forfeiture program under the U.S. Constitution. The lawsuit does not seek money damages. Rather, the purpose of the lawsuit is to change Defendants' policies and practices in conducting obil forfeitures.

The Parties have reached a tentative settlement agreement as to the following two policies and practices challenged by Plaintiffs.

First Claim: Evicting people from their homes and other real property of the feather of the requiring property owners to give up statutory and constitutional rights in order to be let back into their homes or other real property or to have the forfeiture petition withdrawn.

Plaintiffs contend that both of these policies and practices violate the Fourteenth Amendment's guarantee that no person shall be deprived of property without due process of law. The remaining four claims are not resolved and will continue to be litigated.

The "Parties" to the settlement are:

The "Parties" to the settlement are:

1. Perfect Claim: Evictive Proposed Settlement Agreement

The Court has preliminarily approved his settlement as of August 28, 2015.

III. Description of the Propased Settlement Agreem

other real property under the Controlled Substances Forfetture Act, 42 Pa. Cons. Stat. §§ 6801 et seq., except when all of the following circumstances are satisfied:

1. Prior approval from a specifically designated person;
2. Specific facts demonstrating that ekspent circumstances exist; and
3. Specific facts demonstrating that ekspent circumstances exist; and
3. Specific facts demonstrating that less restrictive measures are insufficient.

The 3. Specific facts demonstrating that less restrictive measures are insufficient.

The 3. Specific facts demonstrating that less restrictive measures are insufficient.

The 4. Specific facts demonstrating that less restrictive measures are insufficient.

The 5. Specific facts demonstrating that less restrictive measures are insufficient.

The 6. Specific facts demonstrating that less restrictive measures that the fact on a property owner insufficient.

It is property operation of the factive measures that the District Attorney Defendants cannot address through less restrictive measures, they will comply with new procedures to apply for a "seize and seal" order, is: (1) represented by an attorney; and (2) knowingly and voluntarily agrees, in writing, to the continued sealing of his or her property, the District Attorney Defendants may, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Agreement, seek to reinstate the "seize and seal" order after providing the property owner with proper notice and presenting the petition to reinstate the "seize and seal" order at a hearing before a judge of the Court of Common Pleas.

B. Unsealing Agreements and Settlement Agreements (Consent Motions for Discontinuance)

The following conditions, contained in an unsealing agreement lan agreement allowing re-entry by homeowners after a "seize and seal" order has been lifted) or settlement agreement (an agreement to withdraw a forfeiture protection), are void and will not be enforced:

1. Giving up statutory or constitutional defenses or claims in any future proceedings, including agreein

2. Restricting access to the property by any relative, defined to include up to fifth-degree relatives;
3. Restricting access to the property by any non-relative, unless the non-relative, unless the non-relative has been convicted of distributing illegal controlled substances;
4. Giving the Commonwealth the power to review, approve, or reject prospective lessees, tenants, buyers, residents, or transferees of the property.
5. Requiring property owners to screen or disclose personal information (including, but not limited to, social security numbers and dates of birth) about prospective lessees, tenants, buyers, residents, or transferees of the property.
Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Agreement, the District Action of the property owners who have entered into unless that the property of the property owners who have entered into unless that the property of the property owners who have entered into unless that the property of the property of the property of the property owners who have entered into unless that the property of the proper

Fax: (703) 682-9921 E-mail: dsheth@ij.org; memam@ij.org KAIRYS, RUDOVSKY, MESSING & FEINBERG David Rudovsky (I.D. Number 15168) The Cast Iron Building 718 Arch Street, Suite 501 South Philadelphia, PA 19106 Tet: (215) 925-4400 Email: drudovsky@krlawphila.com

he nature of the proposed testimony by October 27, 2015.

For the Defendants:
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Elizabeth J. Rubin
Bryan C. Hughes
Three South Penn Square
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Tel: (215) 686-8787
Email: b, graham-rubin@phila.gov
Counsel for Defendants Philadelphia District
Attorney's Office and District Attorney R. Seth Williams
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA LAW DEPARTMENT
Michael Miller
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
Tel: (215) 685-5433
Email: Milchael, R. Miller @phila.gov
Counsel for Defendants City of Philadelphia,
Mayor Michael A. Nutter and
Police Commissioner Charles H. Ramsey

egal notices



Legal Notices



Year 40

olidated Annual Performance & Evaluation Report (CAPER)

(Fiscal Year 2015)

Office of Housing and Community lopment (OHCD) has prepared the 40 CAPER (Fiscal Year 2015). report describes how the City of delphia expended its resources the U.S. Department of Housing Urban Development Community opment Block Grant, federal HOMÉ , Emergency Solutions Grant and ing Opportunities for Persons With (HOPWA) funds and from the nonwealth of Pennsylvania Department mmunity and Economic Development for the period of July 1, 2014 to 30. 2015.

CAPER is available online at phila.gov/ohcd. Copies of the CAPER so available for citizen review in the rnment Publications departments Central, Fumo Family, Northeast anal, Northwest Regional and West mal branches of the Free Library of

ited number of copies of the CAPER Iso available at the Office of Housing community Development, Department ommunications, 1234 Market St., oor, Philadelphia, PA, 19107. Copies e Summary of Accomplishments rt and of the CAPER of the federal CPD rated Disbursement and Information m (IDIS) are available for review n OHCD's offices. Call 215-686-9787 etails. Send comments to OHCD at hcd@phila.gov or at the above ss by Sept. 28, 2015.

hiladelphia A. Nutter

Office of Housing and ommunity Development Deborah McColloch Director

City of Philadelphia
Public Hearing Notice
committee on Commerce & Economic
comment of the Council of the City of Phila
swill noted a Public Hearing on Thursday,
nber 17, 2015, at 1:00 PM, in Room 400,
all, to hear testimony on the following

Development, upen.

Jerselopment, upen.

Jerselopme

Legal Notices

City of Philadeiphia Public Hearing The Committee on Global Opportunities & Creative/ Innovative Economy of the Philadeiphia will hold a Public Hearing on Wednesday, September 16, 2015, at 10:00 Active Hearing on Wednesday, September 16, 2015, at 10:00 Active Hearing on Wednesday, September 16, 2015, at 10:00 Active Hearing on the Collow-ling item: 150113 Resolution authorizing City Countries and the Creative/ Innovative Economy to hold public hearing, and the Creative/ Innovative Economy to hold public hearing, a meeting of the Comportunities & Creative /Innovative Economy, open to the public, will be held to consider the Countries and the Creative /Innovative Economy, open to the public, will be held to considerate on the 10 active of the Countries of th

Michael Decker
Chief Clerk
Notice of Intent to
Remediate and
Report Submittal
Perport Submittal
Perpor

ment of the Statewide Health Standards.

The State Board of Accountancy will be conducting a meeting od15 sprember 900 a.m. The meeting will be held at Temple University, Fox School of Business. 7th Floor Hall.

Lacoras Walk, Philadelphia, PA 19122. If you are a person with the person



Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND HEARING IN CLASS ACTION FOR OWNERS OF PROPERTY SOURCE WITH A TENED WITH CIVIL FORFEITURE

1. ON THE WITTER STATES DETRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PROPERTY AND HEARING IN CLASS ACTION FOR OWNERS OF PROPERTY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OWNERS OF PROPERTY OWNERS

4. Giving the Commonwealth the power to review, approve, or reject prospective lessees, tenants, buyers, residents, or transferees of the property and good and the property owners to screen or disclose personal information (including, but not limited to, social security numbers and dates of birth) about prospective lessees, tenants, buyers, residents, or transferees of the property.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Agreement, the District Attorney Defendants will inform all property owners who have entered into unsealing or settlement agreements containing the above conditions that these conditions no longer apply.

Additionally, the District Attorney Defendants will produce to Plaintiffs specified documents to ensure compliance with this Agreement. Finally, Plaintiffs reserve the right to challenge any condition contained in future unsealing or settlement agreements as an unconstitutional condition. Under this settlement, Plaintiffs may be awarded attorneys' fees or costs to compensate them for their time and work in lititating the First and Section 1.

unsealing or settlement agreements containing the above conditions that these conditions to longer apply.

Additionally, the District Attorney Defendants will produce to Plaintiffs specific documents to ensure compliance with this Agreement.

Finally, Plaintiffs reserve the right to challenge any condition contained in future unsealing or settlement agreements as an unconstitutional condition.

The product of the proposed Settlement Agreement. The amount of any attorneys' fees paid to Plaintiffs will be made public.

Where the settlement Plaintiffs may be awarded attorneys' fees or costs to compensate them for their time and work in fittigating the First and Second Claims that are the subject of the Proposed Settlement Agreement. The amount of any attorneys' fees paid to Plaintiffs will be made public.

The First Profuse of the Proposed Settlement Agreement. The amount of any attorneys' fees paid to Plaintiffs will be made public.

The proposed settlement may be obtained from:

The District Attorney a Website: two publics gov/districtationney/notices.html.

The District Attorney a Website: two publics gov/districtationney/notices.html.

The District Attorney a Website: threy-fowny-publicy-locus-com/forms/index.html

Court for Agreement of Objection

The City of Philadelphia's Website: https://www.philipyolice.com/forms/index.html

Court for Agreement or Objection and the proposed settlement on the proposed settlement on the proposed settlement only. If you follow these procedures:

1. Objections must be filed in writing by mail to the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, 801 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pa 1910. ALL OBJECTIONS MUST CONTAIN THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

2. Name, address, and telephone number of the person filling the Objections:

3. Name, address, and telephone number of the person filling the Objections.

4. Name, address, and telephone number of the person filling the Objections are filed by mail, they must be postmarked on or before October 7, 2015

5. Any objector by identifying the objector by Attorneys' Names and Add For the Plaintiffs: INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE Darpana M. Sheth

Fax: (703) 682-9321
E-mall: deheth@ij.crg; memam@ij.org
KAIRYS, RUDOV 6K MESSING & FEINBERG
TO Number 15 168)
The Cast Iron Building
718 Arch Street, Suite 501 South
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Tei: (215) 925-4400
Email: drodvsky@krlawphila.com

Company of the property of the company of the compa

the nature of the proposed testimony by October 27, 2015.

For the Defendants:
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Elizabeth J. Rubin
Bryan C. Hughes
Three South Penn Square
Tires South Penn Square
Tires (215) 886-8787
Emil: b]. graham-rubin@phila.gov
Counsel for Defendants Philadelphia District Attorney R. Seth Williams
Cloused for Defendants Philadelphia, R. Seth Williams
Charles (215) 886-8787
The Counsel for Defendants Philadelphia, PA 19102
Tel: (215) 683-6438
Tel: (215) 683-6438
Tel: (215) 683-6438
Counsel for Defendants City of Philadelphia,
Mayor Michael A Nutter and
Police Commissioner Charles H. Ramsey